

Introduction to the Philosophy of Science

Bachelor of Liberal Arts and Sciences

Syllabus from 15 April 2024

Instructor

Prof Dr. Frieder Vogelmann – frieder.vogelmann@ucf.uni-freiburg.de

Office hours: Wednesdays, 16–17h, Bertoldstr. 17, Room 01.071.

Please make an appointment with Silvia Stößer (silvia.stoesser@ucf.uni-freiburg.de).

Times and Rooms

Lecture

Tuesday 12-14h, Max-Kade-Auditorium 1

Workgroups

WG1: Thursdays, 16-18h, AU 01.065 WG2: Thursdays, 16-18h, AU 01.036a WG3: Thursdays, 18-20h, AU 01.065 WG4: Thursdays, 18-20h, AU 01.036a

Tutors:

Silvia Berigüete Pastor (WG1, WG3) – silvia.berigueete@venus.uni-freiburg.de

Maria Jankowska (WG2, WG4) - maria.jankowska@venus.uni-freiburg.de

Course Description

The lecture introduces students to the philosophy of science by looking at the most important problems and debates: What are sciences, and how are they related to philosophy? How do scientific explanations work? Are there laws of nature? What roles do objectivity, rationality and other values play in scientific practices? Does science discover what is real?



The lecture is organised around five topics:

- (1) Sciences, Philosophy and History: What are sciences, how are they related to philosophy and what role does history play?
- (2) Explanations, Interventions and Experiments: How do scientific explanations work? How do scientific practices represent and intervene in whatever they study? What are experiments and why are they so central?
- (3) Objects, Values and Laws: What are the components of scientific theories and practices? Are there natural laws? Must sciences strive for the ideal of freedom from any moral or political values?
- (4) Realism, Anti-Realism and Relativism: Do scientific practices discover what is real? Is there progress towards truth? How should we understand objectivity?
- (5) Sciences in Society: What role does scientific knowledge play in democratic politics? What role should it play? How are sciences instituted?

General Reading

Cartwright, Nancy (2022): A Philosopher Looks at Science. Cambridge: CUP.

Okasha, Samir (2016): *Philosophy of Science. A Very Short Introduction*. 2nd ed. Oxford: OUP.

Bortolotti, Lisa (2008): An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science. Cambridge: Polity.

Oreskes, Naomi (2021): Why Trust Science? Princeton, N.J./Oxford: Princeton University Press.

Rosenberg, Alexander and Lee McIntyre (2020): *Philosophy of Science. A Contemporary Introduction*. 4th ed. New York/London: Routledge.

Learning Goals

Upon successful completion of this course, students are able to

- describe and explain the fundamental concepts of science, e.g., 'theory', 'observation', 'experiment',
- discuss different conceptions of scientific explanation and confirmation,
- reflect upon the (alleged) rationality and objectivity of science, and
- analyse the difference between a normative and a socio-historical view on science.

Attendance & Punctuality

Standard LAS attendance policies apply. Generally, students should inform the instructor about their absence ahead of time, if possible. Students can miss **2 sessions** in a workgroup without having to give specific reasons. Students may miss another **2 sessions** if they hand



in the necessary proof defined in the general LAS attendance guidelines (see <u>ILIAS Info</u> <u>Board</u>). In this case, instructors may ask students to prepare make-up work.

Note that you are expected to arrive punctually for workgroups and the lecture. Presuming that your time is more valuable than everybody else's time is simply arrogant, if not rude.

Assessment and Assignments

Graded Examination I (20%): Students must give a short (10min) presentation of one core text in the workgroups. These presentations can be held by two students, but not by larger groups. They are intended to open up the discussion in class and should answer three questions:

- (a) What is the main argument in the text? How can we express its main thesis?
- (b) How does the argument work?
- (c) Where do you see problems? Identify where you find an argument hard to understand and where you think an argument is inconclusive.

Please be aware that you should reconstruct the argument, not just summarise all of the text. Since you will not have time to include every detail, you must decide what is important and what is not. It is far better if we discover in the discussion that we do need some of the left-out passages than if you try to cramp everything into the presentation.

Graded Examination II (80%): The final exam will be a written exam on 16 July 2024. The re-sit date is 17 September 2024. The exam consists of two parts: A first part with knowledge questions that require short answers about material covered in the lecture, and a second part with essay question to choose from. Further information about the exam will be given in the lecture.

Guiding Questions: To help you with the reading, we will upload guiding questions on ILIAS every week. You can use them to orient your text or write an answer in order to practice for the exam. You can also get feedback for your answers from your workgroup tutor but please talk to them before handing anything in.

Philosophy students can earn 3 ECTS by attending the lecture and writing a short essay (2-3 pages) at the end of the term (**due by 21 July 2024**). They are free to join the workgroups, if they are not filled to capacity. A list of essay questions will be provided two weeks before the due date; if you want to write about a topic of your own choosing, please contact me before you start.



Exam registration takes place in HISinOne during the first two weeks of the semester/the block. For semester-long courses, there is a withdrawal period in the third week of the semester.

All core texts will be made available via ILIAS.

Course Outline/Schedule

#	Date	Topic	Required Reading	Optional Reading
1	16.04.2024	Four Ideas of Science and an Overview	Francis Bacon (2009 [1620]), "The Inductive Method"	Peter Machamer (2002), "A Brief Historical Introduction to the Philosophy of Science"
			Galileo Galilei (2009 [1623]), "Tradition and Experience"	
2	23.04.2024	Scientific Explanation, Vol. 1: Troubles with Induction	Wesly C. Salmon (2017 [1967]), The Foundations of Scientific Inference, 1–11 and 54–56.	Carl G. (Hempel 1998 [1962]), "Two Basic Types of Scientific Explanation"
3	30.04.2024	Logical Empiricism vs. Critical Rationalism	Naomi Oreskes (2021), Why Trust Science?, 15–28.	Samir Okasha (2016), Philosophy of Science, 1–15.
				Karl R. Popper (2002 [1963]), "Science: Conjectures and Refutations"
4	07.05.2024	Puzzles, Paradigms & Scientific Revolutions: Thomas Kuhn's Challenge	Thomas S. Kuhn (1998 [1962]), "The Nature and Necessity of Scientific Revolutions"	Thomas S. Kuhn (1970 [1962]), <i>The</i> Structure of Scientific Revolutions.
5	14.05.2024	The Slow Demise of the Demarcation Question & Its Sudden Return	Naomi Oreskes (2021), Why Trust Science?, 28–49.	Alexander Bird (2013), "The Historical Turn in the Philosophy of Science"
	21.05.2024	PENTECOST BREAK - NO	LECTURE & NO WORKGROUPS	3
6	28.05.2024	Scientific Explanation, Vol. 2: What Are Natural Laws?	Nancy Cartwright (1998 [1980]), "Do the Laws of Physics State the Facts?"	Alexander Rosenberg and Lee McIntyre (2020), <i>Philosophy of</i> <i>Science. A Contem-</i> <i>porary Introduction</i> , 56–73.



#	Date	Topic	Required Reading	Optional Reading
7	04.06.2024	Experiments and Metaphors	Emily Martin (1991), "The Egg and the Sperm"	Steven Shapin (1988), "The House of Experiment in Seven- teenth-Century England"
8	11.06.2024	"If you can spray them, they are real"	lan Hacking (1998 [1982]), "Experi- mentation and Scientific Realism"	Arthur Fine (1998 [1984]), "The Natural Ontological Attitude"
9	18.06.2024	Anti-Realism & Social Constructivism	Bruno Latour (2002), "The Science Wars: A Dialog"	Alison (Wylie 1996), "The Constitution of Archaeological Evidence: Gender Politics and Science"
			John Dupré (2004), "What's the Fuss about Social Constructi- vism?"	
10	25.06.2024	Sciences, Humanities & Values	Helen Longino (2008), "Values, Heuristics, and the Politics of Knowledge"	Lorrain Daston and Peter Galison (2007), Objectivity
11	02.07.2024	The Authority of Scientific Knowledge	Naomi Oreskes (2021), Why Trust Science?, 49–68.	Massimo Pigliucci and Maarten Boudry (eds.) (2013), Philosophy of Pseudoscience
12	09.07.2024	Philosophy of Science: Contemporary Challenges and Future Issues	Open Discussion in the Workgroups, Q&A for the Exam	
13	16.07.2024	Written Exam		

Full Biography

- Bacon, Francis (2009 [1620]): The Inductive Method. In: Timothy McGrew, Marc Alspector-Kelly and Fritz Allhoff (eds.), *Philosophy of Science. An Historical Anthology*. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell, 190–193.
- Bird, Alexander (2013): The Historical Turn in the Philosophy of Science. In: Martin Curd and Stathis Psillos (eds.), *The Routledge Companion to Philosophy of Science*. London/New York: Routledge, 79–89.
- Cartwright, Nancy (1998 [1980]): Do the Laws of Physics State the Facts? In: Martin Curd and Jan A. Cover (eds.), *Philosophy of Science. The Central Issues*. New York/London: W. W. Norton & Company, 895–877.

Daston, Lorraine and Peter Galison (2007): Objectivity. New York: Zone Books.

universität freiburg



- Dupré, John (2004): What's the Fuss about Social Constructivism? In: Episteme 1 (1), 73–85.
 Fine, Arthur (1998 [1984]): The Natural Ontological Attitude. In: Martin Curd and Jan A. Cover (eds.), Philosophy of Science. The Central Issues. New York/London: W. W. Norton & Company, 1186–1208.
- Galilei, Galileo (2009 [1623]): Tradition and Experience. In: Timothy McGrew, Marc Alspector-Kelly and Fritz Allhoff (eds.), *Philosophy of Science. An Historical Anthology*. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell, 135–137.
- Hacking, Ian (1998 [1982]): Experimentation and Scientific Realism. In: Martin Curd and Jan A. Cover (eds.), *Philosophy of Science. The Central Issues*. New York/London: W. W. Norton & Company, 1153–1168.
- Hempel, Carl G. (1998 [1962]): Two Basic Types of Scientific Explanation. In: Martin Curd and Jan A. Cover (eds.), *Philosophy of Science. The Central Issues*. New York/London: W. W. Norton & Company, 685–694.
- Kuhn, Thomas S. (1970 [1962]): *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. 2nd, enlarged ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- ——— (1998 [1962]): The Nature and Necessity of Scientific Revolutions. In: Martin Curd and Jan A. Cover (eds.), *Philosophy of Science. The Central Issues*. New York/London: W. W. Norton & Company, 86–101.
- Latour, Bruno (2002): The Science Wars: A Dialog. In: Common knowledge 8 (1), 71–79.
- Longino, Helen E. (2008): Values, Heuristics, and the Politics of Knowledge. In: Martin Carrier, D. O. N. Howard and Janet Kourany (eds.), *The Challenge of the Social and the Pressure of Practice: Science and Values Revisited*. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press, 68–86.
- Machamer, Peter (2002): A Brief Historical Introduction to the Philosophy of Science. In: Peter Machamer and Michael Silberstein (eds.), *The Blackwell Guide to the Philosophy of Science*. Malden, MA: Blackwell, 1–17.
- Martin, Emily (1991): The Egg and the Sperm: How Science Has Constructed a Romance Based on Stereotypical Male-Female Roles. In: *Signs* 16 (3), 485–501.
- Okasha, Samir (2016): *Philosophy of Science. A Very Short Introduction*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Oreskes, Naomi (2021): Why Trust Science? Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Pigliucci, Massimo and Maarten Boudry (eds.) (2013): *Philosophy of Pseudoscience.*Reconsidering the Demarcation Problem. Chicago, III.: University of Chicago Press.
- Popper, Karl R. (2002 [1963]): Science: Conjectures and Refutations. In: ibid., *Conjectures and Refutations: The Growth of Scientific Knowledge*. London/New York: Routledge, 43–86.
- Rosenberg, Alexander and Lee McIntyre (2020): *Philosophy of Science. A Contemporary Introduction*. 4th ed. New York/London: Routledge.
- Salmon, Wesly C. (2017 [1967]): The Foundations of Scientific Inference. 50th Anniversary Edition with an Introductory Essay by Christopher Hitchcock. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Shapin, Steven (1988): The House of Experiment in Seventeenth-Century England. In: *Isis* 79 (3), 373–404.
- Wylie, Alison (1996): The Constitution of Archaeological Evidence: Gender Politics and Science. In: Peter Galison and David Stump (eds.), *The Disunity of Science*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 311–343.

universität freiburg